UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/593,314	04/17/2007	Chaogang Lu	4202-02900	7532	
97698 Huawei Techno	7590 01/04/201 logies Co., Ltd.	EXAMINER			
c/o Conley Rose 5601 Granite Pa	e, P.C.	HUSSAIN, FARRUKH			
Plano, TX 7502		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2444		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/04/2011	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/593,314	LU ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	FARRUKH HUSSAIN	2444					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 10 December 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complianc time periods:	ring replies: (1) an amendment, affice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in a	idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 C	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	06.07(f).  On which the petition under 37 CFR 1.  The ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig	136(a) and the appropria of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	te extension fee ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed <u>AMENDMENTS</u>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);					
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	·						
<ul> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> <li>7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of</li> </ul>							
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>None</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>None</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-19</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>None</u> .							
<ul> <li>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</li> <li>8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ul>							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).							
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
<ul> <li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (</li> <li>13. ☐ Other: See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ul>	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
/WILLIAM C VAUGHN JR/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit	/F. H./ Examiner, Art Unit 2444						

Continuation of 13. Other: Point A. The applicants argued that the Examiner asserts that Jain's paragraph 5, lines 1-I0 discloses that the PML router assigns a label for the protection LSP. See Office Action dated October 6, 2010, pp. 5-6. However, Jain modifies the packet by exchanging the outgoing label for the prior label before forwarding the packet along this next hop, rather than assignning a label for the protection LSP:

As to Point A, the examiner respectfully disagrees. Jain does assign a label for the protection LSP (See paragraph 0100 lines 1-15 used as the appropriate label for the next hop for the appropriate protection LSP)

Point B. The applicants argued that the combination of Lewis, Jain, and Owens fails to disclose a message that comprises label binding infomaation comprising an identifier of the work LSP, a type of the LSP and a protection mode.

As to Point B, the examiner respectfully disagrees. Owens does disclose a message that comprises label binding infomaation comprising an identifier of the work LSP (see column 2 lines 44-54 switches 2, 3, 4, 6 and 7 (identified by reference numerals 104, 106, 108, 110 and 112 respectively). ... This path is known in the art as the working or primary path through the network.), a type of the LSP (see column 11, lines 54-67 When traffic is mapped onto a label-switched path in this way, we call the LSP an "LSP Tunnel". (a type of the LSP)) and a protection mode (see column 14, lines 47-60 so called protection path is preferably set up in advance and maintained in a stand by mode (protection mode)).

Point C The applicant's argued that the combination of Lewis, Jain, and Owens does not disclose binding information comained in the first message, a second message, and notification message, and the PSL and PML binding the work LSP and protection LSP. As to Point C, the examiner respectfully disagrees. Owens does disclose binding information contained in the first message, a second message, and notification message, and the PSL and PML binding the work LSP and protection LSP. (see column 11, lines 1-31 a binding between outgoing labels specifying the working path and the protection/recovery path...A "label distribution protocol" is a set of procedures by which one LSR (i.e., a network switch element) informs (notification message) another of the label bindings it has made. "Label binding" is a process by which a message (first message) to be sent from a source to a destination is associated with various labels between the nodes that lie along the way,... "label binding." Two or more LSRs, (network switch elements) which use a label distribution protocol to exchange label binding information are known as "label distribution peers" with respect to the binding information they exchange. ))